

**APPLICATION TRANSFER REQUEST FOR S.N. 09/647,994****Section I. TRANSFER REQUEST BY (PRINT NAME)** Kathy Matecki **Date** June 19, 2001**TO:** Art Unit 3721 P. Vo Class/sub 493 **FROM:** A.U.3653 Class 242**REASON:**

This case does not belong in class 242, for the reasons set forth on the previous PTO-447A. The claims drawn to making an elongated tubular spindle member are more comprehensive than the claims to a chain (e.g. claims 35+). If it does not belong in class 493, it should be sent to your TC Post Classifier, as indicated by the first choice below. There is no non-classification issue in this application which warrants return to the originating TC.

Gatekeeper concurrence \_\_\_\_\_ Hand carried: Personally accepted by \_\_\_\_\_

**Section II a. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC** By: \_\_\_\_\_ A.U. \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_ **ACCEPTED BY RECEIVING T.C.****NOT ACCEPTED**  Forward to receiving TC Post Classifier  
 Non-classification issue/other, return to Originating TC/AU \_\_\_\_\_**REASON:****Section II b. DISPOSITION BY RECEIVING TC POST CLASSIFIER** This dispute was resolved. Forward to TC/AU \_\_\_\_\_ Class/Sub \_\_\_\_\_ Post Classifier \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Concurring \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_ This dispute was not resolved, forward to DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL**Post Classifier Assessment:**

Gatekeeper Concurrence \_\_\_\_\_ Post Classifier \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

**Section III. DISPOSITION BY DISPUTE RESOLUTION PANEL** Date \_\_\_\_\_**Panel Decision:** Forward to Technology Center / Art Unit \_\_\_\_\_ Class/sub \_\_\_\_\_**REASON:**

Panel Member \_\_\_\_\_ Concurring Panel Member \_\_\_\_\_

 This application **MAY NOT** be returned to the dispute resolution panel. **THIS IS A FINAL DISPOSITION.**